

Feedback Report(Alumni, Parent and Student)

The institute aims to offer the best possible environment and learning experience to encourage students to perform to their full potential for academic achievement.

Students, teachers, parents and alumni play an important role in the evaluation, development and enhancement of the quality of this learning experience. Feedback from students, teachers, parents and alumni helps the college to evaluate how its service policies and make changes as per stakeholders requirements.

The Feedback Process has put an emphasis on the need for involvement of students in the quality assurance of higher education. Student involvement requires that students should act as a collaborators in, rather than merely passive receivers of, teaching and learning process.

All the stakeholders' feedback data was collected and analyzed as per the following tables from the academic year 2013-14 to 2017-18

Student feedback

The responses received from the respondents are presented in table no.1 for the year 2013-14

Sr. No.	Questions	Opinions				Total
		Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	
1	Depth of course content including project work	63	36	6	Nil	105
2	Extent of coverage of course	57	38	9	1	105
3	Applicability/ relevance to real life situations	58	35	12	Nil	105
4	Learning values	58	36	11	Nil	105
5	Clarity and relevance of textual	49	36	18	2	105
6	Relevance of additional source material(library)	43	38	20	4	105
7	Extent of effort required by students	64	33	8	Nil	105
8	Overall rating	53	42	10	Nil	105

The responses received from the respondents are presented in table no.1 for the year 2014-15

Sr. No.	Questions	Opinions				Total
		Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	
1	Depth of course content including project work	67	26	8	1	102
2	Extent of coverage of course	58	32	12	Nil	102
3	Applicability/ relevance to real life situations	60	32	10	1	102
4	Learning values	68	34	Nil	Nil	102
5	Clarity and relevance of textual	52	32	15	3	102
6	Relevance of additional source material(library)	49	34	15	4	102
7	Extent of effort required by students	66	31	5	Nil	102
8	Overall rating	60	42	Nil	Nil	102

The responses received from the respondents are presented in table no.1 for the year 2015-16

Sr. No.	Questions	Opinions				Total
		Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly	

		agree		disagree		
1	Depth of course content including project work	62	53	10	Nil	125
2	Extent of coverage of course	48	66	11	Nil	125
3	Applicability/ relevance to real life situations	61	44	19	1	125
4	Learning values	69	48	8	Nil	125
5	Clarity and relevance of textual	51	61	12	1	125
6	Relevance of additional source material(library)	42	55	28	Nil	125
7	Extent of effort required by students	66	53	06	Nil	125
8	Overall rating	59	49	17	Nil	125

The responses received from the respondents are presented in table no.1 for the year 2016-17

Sr. No.	Questions	Opinions				Total
		Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	
1	Depth of course content including project work	59	29	9	Nil	97
2	Extent of coverage of course	47	41	9	Nil	97
3	Applicability/ relevance to real life situations	48	30	15	4	97
4	Learning values	58	29	10	Nil	97
5	Clarity and relevance of textual	51	33	12	1	97
6	Relevance of additional source material(library)	38	38	14	7	97
7	Extent of effort required by students	50	39	8	Nil	97
8	Overall rating	55	27	14	1	97

The responses received from the respondents are presented in table no.1 for the year 2017-18

Sr. No.	Questions	Opinions				Total
		Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	
1	Depth of course content including project work	93	45	9	3	150
2	Extent of coverage of course	79	52	15	4	150
3	Applicability/ relevance to real life situations	78	53	16	3	150
4	Learning values	93	47	9	1	150
5	Clarity and relevance of textual	75	55	15	5	150
6	Relevance of additional source material(library)	76	51	16	7	150
7	Extent of effort required by students	85	52	11	2	150
8	Overall rating	71	62	15	2	150

Report:

The average performance was found ranging from good to excellent in terms of score. But in few courses it was noticed average. Scope for improvement in terms of score was noticed in few subjects as the score can be improved for excellent grade.

Teachers Feedback

a) Teachers Feedback about the syllabus

Question: - The Course / syllabi designed through have good balance between theory and application

The responses receive from the teacher are presented in the following table No-1

Sr. no	Year	Opinions				Total
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	
1	2012-2013	33	25	01	01	60
2	2013-2014	32	26	01	01	60
3	2014-2015	30	29	01	-	60
4	2015-2016	34	25	-	01	60
5	2016-2017	25	35	-	-	60
6	2017-2018	30	28	01	01	60

b) Teachers Feedback about the Library

Question: -Are prescribed books available in sufficient numbers in library

The responses receive from the teacher are presented in the following table No-1

Sr. no	Year	Opinions				Total
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	
1	2012-2013	36	22	02	-	60
2	2013-2014	40	17	02	01	60
3	2014-2015	39	17	-	04	60
4	2015-2016	32	26	-	02	60
5	2016-2017	34	23	-	03	60
6	2017-2018	38	18	02	02	60

c) Teachers Feedback about the Infrastructure

Question: -Facility provided in college is adequate and satisfactory

The responses receive from the teacher are presented in the following table No-1

Sr. no	Year	Opinions				Total
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	
1	2012-2013	22	36	-	02	60
2	2013-2014	30	27	01	02	60
3	2014-2015	30	29	-	01	60
4	2015-2016	31	29	-	-	60
5	2016-2017	25	35	-	-	60
6	2017-2018	30	29	01	-	60

Report:

About 99% of teacher had opinion that objective of the syllabus was appropriate and explained clearly. Most of the teachers had strongly agreed for syllabus content, 95% were happy with the facility provided in library and infrastructure

Parent feedback

We have designed general feedback form for the parents which include three parameters about the curriculum designed by university. The feedback from parents is given in the following tables. We collected feedback from parents through Parents-Teacher Meet.

The responses received from the respondents for **infrastructure** are presented in the following table

Sr. No.	Academic year	Opinions				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	2012-13	09	24	04	Nil	1
2	2013-14	12	19	11	1	1
3	2014-15	15	19	16	1	Nil
4	2015-16	21	30	13	Nil	Nil
5	2016-17	27	19	14	Nil	Nil
6	2017-18	11	08	06	Nil	Nil

The responses received from the respondents for **library** are presented in the following table

Sr. No.	Academic year	Opinions				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	2012-13	28	08	03	Nil	Nil
2	2013-14	27	15	03	Nil	Nil
3	2014-15	21	21	08	01	Nil
4	2015-16	35	20	07	Nil	Nil
5	2016-17	42	15	05	Nil	Nil
6	2017-18	15	08	02	Nil	Nil

The responses received from the respondents for **academic discipline** are presented in the following table

Sr. no.	Academic year	Opinions				
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor
1	2012-13	15	16	07	Nil	Nil
2	2013-14	21	19	04	Nil	Nil
3	2014-15	15	20	16	Nil	Nil
4	2015-16	21	20	18	02	Nil
5	2016-17	16	31	12	02	Nil
6	2017-18	09	08	08	Nil	Nil

Report: From the above table more than 80% parents strongly agreed that the curriculum of the university was well designed and proper focus is given on the infrastructure, library and academic discipline. The overall feedback from the parents shows that parents were well satisfied with the facilities and efforts taken by the institute.

Alumni feedback

Our alumni feedback is valuable for us as it provides inputs regarding improvement in facilities. We appeal our alumni to provide their sincere feedback to us through prescribed forms, emails and during alumni meets on the infrastructure, library and teaching faculty of the college. Based on the comments of the alumni, the analysis is carried out as follows:

The responses received from the respondents for **infrastructure** are presented in the following table

Sr. No.	Academic year	Opinions			
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Strongly Disagree	Disagree
1	2012-13	07	05	01	Nil
2	2013-14	05	09	02	Nil
3	2014-15	08	09	02	Nil
4	2015-16	02	10	Nil	Nil
5	2016-17	12	20	03	Nil

The responses received from the respondents for **teaching staffare** presented in the following table

Sr. No.	Academic year	Opinions			
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Strongly Disagree	Disagree
1	2012-13	07	06	Nil	Nil
2	2013-14	07	09	Nil	Nil
3	2014-15	05	08	06	Nil
4	2015-16	06	05	01	Nil
5	2016-17	04	20	11	Nil

The responses received from the respondents for **library** are presented in the following table

Sr. No.	Academic year	Opinions			
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Strongly Disagree	Disagree
1	2012-13	10	02	01	Nil
2	2013-14	09	06	01	Nil
3	2014-15	10	08	01	Nil
4	2015-16	06	05	01	Nil
5	2016-17	20	13	03	Nil

Report:-The feedback report shows that 60% alumni's feel that the Infrastructure facilities provided by the College was good and require no change. 90% alumni's feel that the library facilities provided by the College were good and require no change and 80% alumni's are happy with the teaching staff.

Hostel feedback

We collect feedback from our hostelites which provide valuable inputs regarding improvement in hostel facilities. We appeal our hostellers to provide their sincere feedback through prescribed forms, emails and during hostellers meet on various facilities such as water and food, electricity, security, furniture and medical services etc.

The responses received from the respondents for the year 2017-18 are presented in the following table no.1

Boy's hostel

Sr. no.	Questions	Opinions				Total
		Strongly agree	Agree	Strongly disagree	Disagree	
1	Hostel administration is student friendly	33	32	02	01	68
2	The atmosphere is healthy	32	34	01	02	68
3	Hostel premises and room are clean, neat and properly maintained	20	48	--	00	68
4	The rules and regulation laid down by hostel administration are strictly followed	40	25	--	03	68
5	Security provided is satisfactory	33	35	--	--	68
6	Meetings are conducted to discuss the problems of students and adequate actions are taken accordingly	33	34	01	--	68
7	Health facilities are provided on regular basis	27	38	--	03	68
8	Grievance redressal is available	35	30	01	02	68
9	Availability of safe and pure drinking water	18	35	05	10	68

Ladies hostel

Sr. no.	Questions	Opinions				Total
		Strongly agree	Agree	Strongly disagree	Disagree	
1	The administration in the hostel is student friendly	30	154	2	1	187
2	The hostel premises and rooms are clean, neat and properly maintained	32	153	1	1	187
3	The rules and regulations are valid	51	130	6	-	187
4	The security provided is satisfactory	87	100	-	-	187
5	A separate study hall in hostel premises is adequate and satisfactory	47	134	2	3	187
6	All the facilities provided in the hostel are sufficient	46	138	1	1	187
7	The meetings are conducted to discuss the problems of the students and adequate action is taken accordingly	52	131	2	1	187
8	Health and entertainment facilities are provided on regular basis	32	149	3	3	187
9	The facility of grievance redressal is available	27	157	3	-	187

Report: -The feedback report shows that 85% students feel that the infrastructure facilities of hostel provided by the college were good and require no change. 90% Student feel that security services provided by the college were good and 75% students were happy with the facility provided for safe and pure drinking water.